



THIS PROJECT HAS RECEIVED FUNDING FROM THE **EUROPEAN UNION'S HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION PROGRAMME** UNDER GRANT AGREEMENT N. 696294

### A multi-sensor system



| Title   | Amulti-sensor system   |
|---|--|
| Title (native language)   |  |
| Category  | Recording or mapping technology  |
| Short summary for practitioners (Practice abstract) in English) | In this paper we reported a multi-sensor system for high throughput phenotyping in plant breeding. The system comprised five sensor modules (ultrasonic distance sensors, thermal infrared radiometers, NDVI sensors, portable spectrometers, and RGB web cameras) to measure crop canopy traits from field plots. A GPS was used to geo-reference the sensor measurements. Two environmental sensors (a solar radiation sensor and air temperature/relative humidity sensor) were also integrated into the system to collect simultaneous environmental data. A Lab MEW program was developed to control and synchronize measurements from all sensor modules and stored sensor readings in the host computer. Canopy reflectance spectra (by portable spectrometers) were post processed to extract NDVI and red-edge NDVI spectral indices; and RGB images were post processed to extract canopy green pixel fraction (as a proxy for biomass). The sensor system was tested in a soybean and wheat field trial. The results showed strong correlations among the sensor-based plant traits at both early and late growing season. Significant correlations were also found between the sensor-based traits and final grain yield at the early season (Pearson's correlation coefficient r ranged from 0.41 to 0.55) and late season (r from 0.55 to 0.70), suggesting the potential use of the sensor system to assist in phenotypic selection for plant breeding. The sensor system performed satisfactorily and robustly in the field tests. It was concluded that the sensor system could be a powerful tool for plant breeders to collect field-based, high throughput plant phenotyping data. |
| Short summary for practitioners                                 |  |
| Website   |  |
| Audiovisual material  |  |
| Links to other websites   |  |
| Additional comments   |  |
| Keywords  | Farming equipment and machinery  |
| Additional keywords   | High throughput field phenotyping; Canopy reflectance; Canopy temperature; LabMEW; RGB image   |
| Geographical location (NUTS)                                    | EU   |
| Other geographical location                                     | Global   |
| Cropping systems  | Arable crops   |
| Field operations  | Crop and soil scouting   |
| SFTusers  | Farmer   Contractor  |

| Education level of users | Secondary education   Apprenticeship or technical school education   University education |
|--------------------------|---|
| Farm size (ha)           | 0-2   2-10   10-50   50-100   100-200   200-500   >500                                    |

# Scientific article

| Title | A multi-sensor system for high throughput field phenotyping in soybean and wheat breeding   |
|-------|---|
|       | Bai, G.; Ge, Y.; Hussain, W.; Baenziger, P.S.; Graef, G. (2016). Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, DOI:10.1016/j.compag.2016.08.021 |

### **Effects of this SFT**

| Productivity (crop yield per ha)  | No effect     |
|---|---------------|
| Quality of product  | No effect     |
| Revenue profit farm income  | Some increase |
| Soil biodiversity   | No effect     |
| Biodiversity (other than soil)  | No effect     |
| Input costs   | No effect     |
| Variable costs  | No effect     |
| Post-harvest crop wastage   | No effect     |
| Energyuse   | No effect     |
| CH4 (methane) emission  | No effect     |
| CO2 (carbon dioxide) emission   | No effect     |
| N2O (nitrous oxide) emission  | No effect     |
| NH3 (ammonia) emission  | No effect     |
| NO3 (nitrate) leaching  | No effect     |
| Fertilizer use  | No effect     |
| Pesticide use   | No effect     |
| Irrigation water use  | No effect     |
| Labor time  | No effect     |
| Stress or fatigue for farmer  | Some decrease |
| Amount of heavy physical labour   | No effect     |
| Number and/or severity of personal injury accidents   | No effect     |
| Number and/or severity of accidents resulting in spills property damage incorrect application of fertiliser/pesticides etc. | No effect     |
| Pesticide residue on product  | No effect     |
| Weed pressure   | No effect     |
| Pest pressure (insects etc.)  | No effect     |
| Disease pressure (bacterial fungal viral etc.)  | No effect     |

## Information related to how easy it is to start using the SFT

| This SFT replaces a tool or technology that is currently used. The SFT is better than the current tool | no opinion |
|--|------------|
| The SFT can be used without making major changes to the existing system                                | no opinion |
| The SFT does not require significant learning before the farmer can use it                             | disagree   |
| The SFT can be used in other useful ways than intended by the inventor                                 | agree      |
| The SFT has effects that can be directly observed by the farmer  | no opinion |
| Using the SFT requires a large time investment by farmer   | no opinion |
| The SFT produces information that can be interpreted directly  | disagree   |

View this technology on the Smart-AKIS platform

#### SMART AKIS PARTNERS:



























This factsheet was generated on 2018-Apr-03 11:57:16.